## Message

From: Hathaway, Margaret [Hathaway.Margaret@epa.gov]

**Sent**: 10/27/2020 5:18:51 PM

**To**: George Sabbagh [george.sabbagh@bayer.com]

CC: Dixon Monty USGR [monty.dixon@syngenta.com]; Echeverria, Marietta [Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov]; Kenny,

Daniel [Kenny.Dan@epa.gov]; Steven Callen [steven.callen@bayer.com]; Jeffrey H Birk [jeffrey.birk@basf.com]

Subject: Concurrence Requested ASAP - Dicamba Bulletins Live Language

## Dear George:

EPA requests concurrence asap with the following language regarding hooded sprayers for BLT language associated with the dicamba decision:

"To protect federally listed threatened and endangered species, both a 310-foot in-field wind-directional spray drift buffer and a 57-foot omnidirectional in-field buffer are required. **If applying with a qualified hooded sprayer**, both a 240-foot in-field wind-directional spray drift buffer and a 57-foot omnidirectional in-field buffer are required to protect federally listed threatened and endangered species. Please see **[URL]** for a list of qualified hooded sprayers.

The following areas may be included in the buffer distance composition when directly adjacent to the treated field edges:

- Roads, paved or gravel surfaces, mowed grassy areas adjacent to field, and areas of bare ground from recent plowing or grading that are contiguous with the treated field.
- Planted agricultural fields containing dicamba-resistant plantings of cotton and soybeans.
- Areas covered by the footprint of a building, silo, or other man made structure with walls and or roof."

## Thank you,

Margaret Hathaway (Meg)
Senior Regulatory Specialist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
OCSPP: Office of Pesticide Programs
Registration Division – Herbicide Branch
hathaway.margaret@epa.gov
(703) 305-5076

ALL CONTENTS AND ATTACHMENTS TO THIS EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE ARE TO BE CONSIDERED DRAFT/INTERNAL/DELIBERATIVE ONLY, NOT TO BE SHARED UNLESS SPECIFICALLY AND EXPLICITLY STATED